DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 411 241 SP 037 533

AUTHOR

Lopes, Solange A.

TITLE

State-Wide Needs Assessment in ESL Education: Findings.

PUB DATE

1997-00-00

NOTE

13p.

PUB TYPE

Reports - Research (143) -- Tests/Questionnaires (160)

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

Diversity (Student); Elementary Secondary Education; *English (Second Language); Higher Education; Language Minorities; *Limited English Speaking; *Needs Assessment; Preservice Teacher Education; Program Content; Program Development; Special Needs Students; State Surveys; Student

Needs

IDENTIFIERS

James Madison University VA; Teacher Needs; Virginia

ABSTRACT

This paper reports on a needs assessment study designed to identify critical needs in Virginia's English as a Second Language (ESL) education in order to design an appropriate ESL endorsement program at James Madison University. The study involved a statewide sample of K-12 schools with ESL programs. A total of 330 questionnaires were sent to 24 school divisions. The questionnaire asked about: (1) community characteristics; (2) the respondent's primary responsibility; (3) the respondent's language proficiencies; (4) faculty and student cultural diversity; (5) the number of students with limited English proficiency (LEP); (6) presence and characteristics of LEP/ESL programs, (7) LEP/ESL teachers' needs, (8) types of skills teacher education programs should emphasize; (9) resources to benefit to LEP/ESL teachers; (10) major needs of LEP/ESL students; and (11) recommendations for improvement of K-12 ESL education in Virginia. Results from the 200 returned surveys indicated that teacher preparation programs should emphasize more hands-on teaching and model active classroom teaching to better prepare prospective teachers to work with non-native students. Respondents indicated that the greatest needs were having a well-designed ESL syllabus, updated materials, consistent assessment measures, more experienced personnel, more resources, and better prepared professionals to enter the field. Most schools had an ESL program. An appendix presents the survey instrument. (SM)

from the original document.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improve EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

☐ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

State-wide Needs Assessment in ESL Education: Findings Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent of inficial OFR institute of the property of the proper

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL

HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Dr. Solange A, Lopes James Madison University

Purpose

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The purpose of this study was to identify the most critical needs in ESL education in the state of Virginia in order to design an ESL endorsement program at James Madison University that addresses these specific needs and takes into consideration the respondents' recommendations.

Methodology

Prior to conducting a state-wide needs assessment study on ESL education, a team of five JMU professors developed an assessment instrument which was administered in the regional schools in Summer 1996. Information from the instrument used for the regional assessment allowed for further refinement of the survey instrument administered at the state level. The new survey included thirteen statements with fixed and multiple choice alternatives as well as openended questions. The survey instrument is presented in Appendix A.

The method used for the selection of the sample was twofold. Once it was decided that the sample should be a purposive sample, the first part of the sample selection phase involved identifying school divisions that should be included in the sample. The criteria used for selecting the sample was "picking" school districts that would hypothetically attract JMU students for employment purposes, due to geographical convenience or to the demand of ESL teachers in the area.

Twenty-four school divisions were selected on the basis of geographical areas and statistics (i.e., number of schools versus number of students identified as Limited English Proficient (LEP).

The second part of the sample selection involved contacting the selected schools to find out the exact number of ESL teachers or teachers involved in ESL education in each of the schools. The purpose in contacting each school was: 1.) to communicate to the principal or school personnel that a survey was being sent to the school; and 2.) to find out the exact number of questionnaires that should be mailed to each school.

A total of 330 questionnaires were sent to the twenty-four school divisions. 200 of the original 330 questionnaires were returned (60 percent return rate).

Findings

Question 1 requested information on the community. The findings show that: 65% of the respondents work in a suburban area, 19% in an urban area, 10% in a rural school, and 6% in a small town.

Question 2 focused on the respondent's primary responsibility. 89% of the respondents were teachers, 4% principals, 2% counselors, 1% administrators, 1% assistant principals, and 3% of the respondents have responsibilities other than the ones listed in the survey. Question 2 also requested information on grade levels taught. 24% of the respondents work with high school students 9th through 12th grades. 23% with 6th through 8th grades, 20% with nursery/kindergarten through 5th grades, 17% with NK through 3rd grades, 2% work with all grade levels, and 8% with "other." The category "other" includes special education, art, music, etc. Still part of Question 2,



it was requested that respondents provide information on the number of years of teaching experience. 32% of the respondents reported having from 11 to 20 years of teaching experience, 31% from 4 to 10 years, 21% have more than 20 years of experience, 9% have less than 3 years of teaching experience.

Question 3 focused on the respondent's proficiency in a language other than English. 71% reported having proficiency in a foreign language, 29% reported having no proficiency in a second language. Still part of Question 3, if the respondent stated having proficiency in a language other than English, he/she was requested to specify which language. 94% of the respondents reported having proficiency in Spanish; 55% in French; 14% in German; 5% in Arabic, Korean and Russian; 4% in Chinese, Italian and Vietnamese; 3% in Hebrew and Japanese; 2% in Dutch, Farsi, Portuguese and Serbian. African, Greek, Hungarian, Kisukuma, Kiswahili, Latin, Romanian, Swahili, Swedish, Taiwanese, and Turkish had one response. Question 3 also requested the respondent to specify their level of proficiency in the language or languages spoken. 74% reported being very proficient, 59% somewhat proficient, and 42% not very proficient.

Question 4 focused on the diversity of the faculty in the participating schools. 10% of the schools reported having very diverse faculty, 51% somewhat diverse, 35% not very diverse, and 4% were blank responses.

Question 5 investigated diversity in the student population of the schools surveyed. 61% of the schools reported having a very diverse student body, 31% somewhat diverse, 7% not very diverse, and 1% were blank responses.

Question 6 requested information on the total number of LEP students the respondents have in their classrooms. 35% reported having 100% of LEP students, 5% reported having between 90 and 60% of their population LEP, 10% between 59 and 30%, 15% between 29 and 11, 17% between 10 and 5%, 12% have less than 5% of LEP students in their classrooms, and 6% were blank responses.

Question 7 asked if the school has an LEP/ESL program. 96% reported having such a program, 1% reported not having such a program, and 3% were blank responses.

Question 8 was primarily for those with an LEP/ESL program in their school. It asked respondents to classify each statement as a strength or deficiency of their ESL program.

The <u>first</u> statement was: having a designated classroom for ESL instruction. 79% reported it as a strength of their school, 13% as a deficiency, and 8% left this statement blank.

The <u>second</u> statement was: having an LEP/ESL sheltered program to meet the most immediate linguistic needs of newcomers before they are mainstreamed into their regular classes. 50% marked it as a strength, 38% as a deficiency, and 12% of the respondents left this statement blank.

The <u>third</u> statement was: having a bilingual education program to help students consolidate certain concepts. 16% marked it as a strength, 58% as a deficiency, and 26% left this statement blank.

The <u>fourth</u> statement was: having a team of teachers to discuss the academic performance, progress and behavior of LEP/ESL students in different classes of various content areas. 54% marked it as a strength, 33% as a deficiency, and 13% left this statement blank.

The <u>fifth</u> statement was: having availability of qualified ESL teachers. 80% marked it as a strength, 12% as a deficiency, and 8% left this statement blank.



The <u>sixth</u> statement was: having availability of appropriate instructional materials for the different levels of language ability. 65% marked it as a strength, 25% as a deficiency, and 10% left this statement blank.

Other strengths added were:

- an inclusion model; supportive administration and faculty;
- ability to individualize instruction to students who need it;
- good cooperation between ESL, classroom, and special resource teachers to serve children in many areas;
- well integrated school social life for ESL students; freedom to adjust curriculum to needs of LEP students;
- a generous budget for materials;
- classes designed for specific needs;
- computer available in the classroom;
- administrators in the Central Office in charge of curriculum and student registration.

Other deficiencies added were:

- high teacher/student ratio;
- no ESL curriculum;
- teachers having little time with students having to commute from school to school;
- need for appropriate assessment materials;
- limited teaching space;
- grouping of various grades at the same time in the same room;
- lack of training in reading by ESL teachers;
- scheduling problem;
- not enough funding to buy suitable books and materials;
- insufficient number of class periods of ESL instruction.

Question 9 deals with the most critical needs LEP/ESL teachers have in the surveyed schools. This question followed a Likert scale format and requested respondents to indicate the degree of need for each statement given.

The <u>first</u> statement focused on the need for updated materials appropriate for the different language proficiency levels of nonnative learners. 29% of the respondents reported this as being much needed; 41% as somewhat needed; 21% as not much needed; 5% as not needed at all; 4% were blank responses.

The <u>second</u> statement focused on the need for workshops on current developments in second language teaching methods to better carry the educational goals of the program. 17% of the respondents reported this as being much needed; 40% as somewhat needed; 29% as not much needed; 10% as not needed at all; 4% were blank responses.

The <u>third</u> statement focused on the need for a well-designed LEP/ESL syllabus. 35% of the respondents reported this as being much needed; 28% as somewhat needed; 21% as not much needed; 11% as not needed at all; 5% were blank responses.

The <u>fourth</u> statement focused on the need for an effective assessment system that provides a more accurate profile of the learner's abilities. 34% of the respondents reported this as being much needed; 34% as somewhat needed; 21% as not much needed; 7% as not needed at all; 4% were blank responses.

The <u>fifth</u> statement focused on the need for tutors to ease nonnative students' transition into regular content-area classes. 41% of the respondents reported this as being much needed; 35% as somewhat needed; 14% as not much needed; 6% as not needed at all; 4% were blank responses.



Other needs were:

- materials/resources/personnel. This category involves updated computer programs, ESL instructional materials for content areas, programs to reach parents, well-trained teachers, computers, more classroom space, teacher training sessions, administrators that are sensitive to the needs of LEP students, more communication between ESL and content-area teachers, lower teacher/student ration in ESL classes, translators.
- bilingual program/bilingual staff.
- assessment criteria (ESL exit test)/specific goals that ESL students should meet before being mainstreamed/grade level guide.
- a sheltered program for beginning level students to provide them with survival skills in the target language until they are able to communicate and function in the target culture.

Question 10 focused on the types of skills teacher preparation programs should emphasize to better prepare teachers to work with LEP/ESL students K-12 in the school systems. Respondents were asked to circle the skills they believed should be reinforced.

The most voted skill was strategies to provide faster development of the learner's language skills - listening, reading, writing, and speaking. 77% of the respondents felt this is the most important skill to be emphasized in teacher preparation programs.

The second most voted skill was current second language teaching methods. 68% of the people surveyed believed this to be the second most needed skill to be reinforced.

The third most voted skills were: 1.) stronger knowledge on the theoretical framework of second language acquisition and processing; and 2.) organization and curriculum development in ESL. 67% of the people surveyed believed these to be the third most needed skills to be reinforced in teacher preparation programs.

The fourth most voted skill was assessment of the abilities of speakers of other languages with 61% of the vote.

The last most voted skill was evaluation of instructional materials with 54% of the vote.

Other skills suggested as important components of teacher preparation programs were:

- training. This category involves sensitivity training for acceptance of other culture
 groups, experience and knowledge of different cultural groups, training on classroom
 management of multilevel ESL classes, curriculum development and training to teach
 content areas, instruction in the great variety of learning styles, preparation in how to
 work with content area teachers, training in reading/literacy research, stronger
 understanding of second language acquisition.
- exposure to literacy instruction.
- experience abroad.
- dual certification. For non-ESL teachers, knowledge of second language learning should be a must.

Question 11 focused on the types of resources considered beneficial to the LEP/ESL teachers in the surveyed schools. Respondents were asked to circle the resources they considered to be the most beneficial.

The most voted resource was found to be information on classroom materials designed for LEP/ESL students - 69%.

The second most voted resource was bringing guest speakers to talk about ESL education in the various content areas - 55%.

The third most voted resource was workshops in ESL - 47%.



The fourth most voted resource was courses in ESL education in an institution of higher education - 31%.

Other resources mentioned by the respondents were:

- ESL teachers working jointly with non-ESL teachers and with other ESL teachers;
- different types of workshops. Workshops in reading, in methods for mainstream teachers, in computer materials for ESL instruction;
- instructional materials and computer resources, specifically software for ESL;
- better trained teachers;
- guest speakers from other cultures.

Question 12 dealt with the major needs of LEP/ESL students. This question also followed a Likert Scale, and respondents were asked to classify each statement in terms of degree of need.

The <u>first</u> statement focused on the need for the student to be able to understand oral directions. 67% of the respondents felt this was much needed by their students; 21% felt it was somewhat needed; 7% considered it not much needed; no respondents considered it not needed at all; 5% of the responses were blank for this statement.

The <u>second</u> statement focused on the need for the student to be more cohesive in writing assignments. 60% of the respondents felt this was much needed by their students; 32% felt it was somewhat needed; 2% considered it not much needed; 1% felt it not needed at all; 5% of the responses were blank for this statement.

The <u>third</u> statement focused on the need for the student to use correct verb tenses in oral and written tasks. 41% of the respondents felt this was much needed by their students; 42% felt it was somewhat needed; 9% considered it not much needed; 1% considered it not needed at all; 7% of the responses were blank for this statement.

The <u>fourth</u> statement focused on the need for the student to have more opportunities to actively interact with native speakers. 34% of the respondents felt this was much needed by their students; 31% felt it was somewhat needed; 23% considered it not much needed; 6% considered it not needed at all; 6% of the responses were blank for this statement.

The <u>fifth</u> statement focused on the need for the student to be encouraged to talk about his or her own culture. 30% of the respondents felt this was much needed by their students; 43% felt it was somewhat needed; 19% considered it not much needed; 2% considered it not needed at all; 6% of the responses were blank for this statement.

The <u>sixth</u> statement focused on the need for the student to have a learning environment that decreases inhibitions. 40% of the respondents felt this was much needed by their students; 30% felt it was somewhat needed; 20% considered it not much needed; 3% considered it not needed at all; 7% of the responses were blank for this statement.

The <u>seventh</u> statement focused on the need for the student to use correct verb tenses in oral and written tasks. 41% of the respondents felt this was much needed by their students; 42% felt it was somewhat needed; 9% considered it not much needed; 1% considered it not needed at all; 7% of the responses were blank for this statement.

The <u>eighth</u> statement focused on the need for the student to be given different types of assessment so that the learner's abilities can be identified more accurately. 50% of the respondents felt this was much needed by their students; 29% felt it was somewhat needed; 10% considered it not much needed; 5% considered it not needed at all; 6% of the responses were blank for this statement.

Other suggestions on the need of LEP/ESL students were:

- literacy development in their native language as well as in the foreign language;
- development in spelling;



- clubs that foster students' cultures and help them build up their self-confidence;
- more opportunities to help ESL students become more socially integrated with the student body and with teachers;
- more opportunities to help ESL students be better prepared for mainstreaming;
- higher expectations for ESL students;
- a valid non-verbal assessment tool to determine the real needs of the students.

Question 13 focused on recommendations for the improvement of ESL education K-12 in Virginia. Respondents expressed the need for:

- materials;
- full time ESL teachers;
- more communication with parents/families;
- training for regular classroom teachers;
- more classroom time;
- clearly defined standards;
- exiting criteria and assessment;
- increased funding;
- programs for parents;
- lower teacher/student ratio;
- more sensitivity towards students and teachers in ESL programs;
- mandatory ESL experience for regular classroom teachers;
- workshops;
- certification requirements for ESL positions;
- more planning time;
- more practical experience and active classroom teaching for student teachers;
- more tutors;
- increased communication between curriculum specialists, teachers, parents and students to identify needs;
- continuous staff development programs;
- assessment tools for identifying student learning styles and deficiencies;
- closer relationship between ESL and mainstream classroom teachers;
- uniformity and organization;
- computers;
- change in the requirements for students who arrive as 11th and 12th graders;
- newer and easier ways to mainstream students.

Conclusions and Future Directions

A study such as the one described here presents valuable information for teacher educators and administrators involved in the development of programs for ESL teachers and students. This study has sought to identify the most critical needs in ESL education in the state of Virginia. It has considered the recommendations made by the respondents as the framework for the development of an ESL licensure program at James Madison University with the purpose of addressing those needs.

Upon reviewing the data, a number of respondents agreed that the greatest needs of educators in the field of language instruction lie on having a well-designed ESL syllabus, consistent assessment measurements, more experienced personnel to work with this student population, more resources, and better prepared professionals to enter the field. Respondents also reported that they



need increased financial support from the state to be able to improve the learning conditions of learners identified as Limited English Proficient.

It was encouraging to see that the great majority of the schools surveyed have an ESL program to assist nonnative students in their linguistic needs. Clearly it is necessary to continue to provide strong encouragement as well as good teaching and learning conditions so that these students can develop their language skills faster and more effectively. However, it is also important that specific course guidelines, goals and assessment instruments be developed in a more consistent fashion so that teachers can help students experience not only linguistic benefits but also affective development.

The data also show that there is a need for closer communication and interaction between ESL teachers and mainstream teachers. This communication may help teachers better guide their ESL students to achieve maximum results. It is also important to recognize that greater communication among educators may help change some of the misconceptions a lot of teachers still hold towards foreign students and decrease student frustration.

Before ending, it is important to briefly summarize the immediate consequences that this study has had in the ESL teacher education program at James Madison University. JMU is currently offering a class on Second Language Acquisition whose major feature is its strong emphasis on application of theory in real classroom situations. Students taking this class are required to test research findings and theoretical statements in a live teaching environment. This set up resulted from the many recommendations given by survey respondents that prospective teachers should have a more practical and direct exposure to the field of second language teaching instead of an essentially theoretical orientation. The second course coming up in Fall 1997 is the Cross-Cultural Education class. The purpose of this class is to increase student teachers' awareness about the cultural differences among peoples and how to handle these differences in a teaching environment. In addition, considering that assessment was consistently addressed by the respondents as important knowledge future ESL teachers should have, we are developing an ESL assessment class to be included in the schedule in Fall 1998. The development of these classes are JMU's interest in establishing a strong ESL licensure program at the undergraduate level that will help improve the scenario of English as a Second Language education in Virginia.



References

- Fortune T., and Jorstad, H. L. 1996. "U.S. Immersion Programs: A National Survey." Foreign Language Annals 29, 2: 163-190.
- Johnson, D. M. 1992. Approaches to Research in Second Language Learning. New York: Longman.
- Kern, R. G. 1995. "Students' and Teachers' Beliefs About Language Learning." Foreign Language Annals 28, 1: 71-92.
- Nunan, D. 1992. Research Methods in Language Learning. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Oxford, R. L. 1990. Language Learning Strategies: What every teacher should know. Massachusetts: Heinle & Heinle.
- Richards, J. C., and Nunan, D. 1990. Second Language Teacher Education. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Yalden, J. 1991. Principles of Course Design for Language Teaching. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Wajnryb, R. 1992. Classroom Observation Tasks: A resource book for language teachers and trainers. New York: Cambridge University Press.



9

Appendix A: Survey Instrument

VIRGINIA ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE PROGRAMS: A STATE-WIDE NEEDS ASSESSMENT SURVEY

James Madison University

Name	e of Schoole of person completing the questionnaireess
Phone	e number
Fax r	number
E-ma	ul address
Date_	
	Prefers to Limited English Proficiency. ESL refers to English as a Second Language. Both terms refer to ers whose primary language is not English, or programs designed for these nonnative speakers.
Chec	k one response from each item below:
1.	Please indicate which one best describes your community:
	a. urban
	b. suburban
	c. rural
	d. a small town
2.	Please describe your primary responsibility:
	a. administrator
	b. principal
	c. assistant principal
	d. teacher (If you checked d, please answer the two sub-entries below)
	- Grade level grouping?
	NK-3rd grades
	4th-5th grades
	6th-8th grades
	9th-12th grades
	other (e.g., special education, art, music, etc.)
	- Number of years of teaching experience?
	less than one year - 3 years
	4 - 10 years
	11 - 20 years
	more than 20 years
	e. other:



3.	Do you have any proficiency in a language other than Eng Yes	lish?							
	No								
	If yes, which language?								
	Level of proficiency?	• .		~	•				
	very proficient somewhat profic	ient	not v	ery profic	cient				
4.	How culturally diverse is the faculty at your school?								
	very diverse somewhat divers	e	not v	ery divers	se				
5.	How culturally diverse is the student body at your school? very diverse somewhat divers		not v	ery divers	se				
6.	Of the total number of students you have, what percentage proficiency?%	have limited l	English						
7.	Do you have an LEP/ESL program at your school? Yes No								
-	If you have an LEP/ESL program at your school, please a by the following statements as strengths or deficiencies of the hand D for deficiency next to each statement.								
	 a designated classroom for English as a Second Language instruction; an LEP/ESL sheltered program to meet the most immediate linguistic needs of newcomers before they are mainstreamed into their regular classes; a bilingual education program to help students consolidate certain 								
	concepts; a team of teachers to discuss the academic perform								
	behavior of LEP/ESL students in different classes			eas;					
	availability of qualified English as a Second Lang availability of appropriate instructional materials			of.					
	language ability;	ioi die differei	it iovois	, 01					
	Other strengths and/or deficiencies not listed. Please write	e them here:			_ _				
9. Please	What do you consider to be the greatest needs LEP/ESL to use the following scale.	eachers have in	ı your s	chool?	_				
	1= much needed, 2=somewhat needed, 3=not m	nuch needed,	4=no	t needed	at all				
	a. updated classroom materials appropriate for the different language proficiency levels of nonnative learners;	1	2	3	4				
	b. workshops on current developments in second language teaching methods to better carry the educational goals of the program;	1	2	3	4				



	C.	a well-designed LEP/ESL syllabus;	I	2	3	4
	d.	an effective assessment system that provides	1	2	3	4
		a more accurate profile of the learner's abilities;				
	e.	tutors to ease nonnative students' transition into	1	2	3	4
		regular content-area classes;				
	f.	other (please write them here):				
	••					
	to works). a. (list) b. acc c. d. La	tening, reading, writing and speaking); stronger knowledge on the theoretical framework of sequisition and processing; evaluation of instructional materials; organization and curriculum development in English as nguage; current second language teaching methods;	Check the slanguate condition languates a Second ges;	ne approp ge skills guage	priate	are
	Check a.		arning; LEP/ES ous conte	L studer ent areas	nts;	ur
		would you consider to be the major needs of your LEP/E	SL stude	ents?? I	Please us	 se
the follo	wing so	cale.				
	1=	much needed, 2=somewhat needed, 3=not much	needed,	4=nc	t neede	d at al
	a	to be able to understand oral directions;	1	2	3	4
	a. h	to be more cohesive in writing assignments;	_		3	4
	b.		1 1	2 2	3	4
•	c.	to use correct verb tenses in oral and in written tasks;	ı	2	3	4
•	d.	to have more opportunities to actively interact	1	2	3	4
	e.	with native speakers; to be encouraged to talk about their	1	2	3	4
		own culture;				



f.

inhibitions;

to have a learning environment that decreases

1

2 3

	g. to be involved in purely physical activities	1	2	3	4
	to avoid the necessity of verbal responses;				
1	n. to be given different types of assessment	1	2	3	4
	so that the learner's abilities can be identified				
	more accurately;				
i	other (please specify):				·
13.	In the space below, please specify your recommendations for	r the impro	vement o	of ESL	
education	n K-12 in Virginia.				
-					
-					

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION!





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

Please return to:

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) ERIC Clearinghouse on

ERIC Clearinghouse on
Teacher Education
One Dupont Circle NW #610
Washington DC 20036

REPRODUCTION RELEASE (Specific Document)

		<u>Vide Needs Assessment in FSL Fa</u>	ducation: Findings
	Author(s): Solang	ge A. Lopes, Ph.D.	
	Corporate Source (If a	appropriate):	Publication Date:
11.	REPRODUCTION RELI		
	documents announc available to users in vice (EDRS). Credit is notices is affixed to	ed in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC microfiche and paper copy (or microfiche only) is given to the source of each document, and, the document.	cant materials of interest to the educational commun system, <u>Resources in Education</u> (RIE), are usually materials and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Streproduction release is granted, one of the follow blease CHECK ONE of the options and sign the release
	below.		
		"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY OR	"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THE MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
	Microfiche	IPERSONAL NAME OR ORGANIZATION	(4" x 6" film)
بــا	(4" x 6" film) and paper copy (81/2" x 11")	AS APPROPRIATE)	reproduction AS APPROPRIATE}
	reproduction	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCE INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."
	documents will be proce	essed in both microfiche and paper copy.	nits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is chec
	"I hereby grant to the indicated above. Representation from the agencies to satisfy information."	resed in both microfiche and paper copy. Reference Information Center (ERIC microfiche by persons other copyright holder Exception is made for non-profit formation needs of educators in response to discret	c) nonexclusive permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checed to the complex of the contractors requires the reproduction of microfiche by libraries and other service the inquiries."
	"I hereby grant to the indicated above. Representation from the agencies to satisfy information."	respect in both microfiche and paper copy. The Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC production from the ERIC microfiche by persons other copyright holder Exception is made for non-profit	c) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as then ERIC employees and its system contractors required to reproduction of microfiche by libraries and other service inquiries."
	"I hereby grant to the indicated above. Representation from the agencies to satisfy information. James	Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC microfiche by persons other copyright holder Exception is made for non-profit formation response to discrete the copyright holder Exception is made for non-profit formation needs of educators in response to discrete the copyright holder Exception is made for non-profit formation needs of educators in response to discrete the copyright holder in the copyright holder	c) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as at than ERIC employees and its system contractors required treproduction of microfiche by libraries and other service inquiries." Printed Name: Solange A. Lopes Position: Assistant Prof. of Educate No.: (540) 568-2936
	documents will be proced "I hereby grant to the indicated above. Representation from the agencies to satisfy information: Signature: Organization: Address: Roop Ha	respect in both microfiche and paper copy. The Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC production from the ERIC microfiche by persons other copyright holder Exception is made for non-profit formation resources of educators in response to discrete the control of the co	nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as the transfer than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires treproduction of microfiche by libraries and other service inquiries."
111	documents will be proced "I hereby grant to the indicated above. Representation from the agencies to satisfy into Signature: Organization: James Harrise	resed in both microfiche and paper copy. The Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC foduction from the ERIC microfiche by persons other copyright holder Exception is made for non-profit formation needs of educators in response to discrete the ERIC formation needs of educators in response to discrete the ed	c) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as the transcription of microfiche by libraries and other service inquiries." Printed Name: Solange A. Lopes Position: Assistant Prof. of Educ. Tel. No.: (540) 568-2936 Date: June 12, 1997
0.01	documents will be proced "I hereby grant to the indicated above. Representation from the agencies to satisfy information: James James	Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC reduction from the ERIC microfiche by persons other copyright holder Exception is made for non-profit formation needs of educators in response to discrete the second of the educators in response to discrete the second of the educators in response to discrete the second of the educators in response to discrete the second of the educators in response to discrete th	c) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as at the the transfer than ERIC employees and its system contractors required to reproduction of microfiche by libraries and other service inquiries." Printed Name: Solange A. Lopes Position: Assistant Prof. of Educ. Tel. No.: (540) 568-2936 Date: June 12, 1997 If wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document of the availability of the document. (ERIC will not be source can be specified. Contributors should also not for documents which cannot be made available through the source that the service is the service of the source can be specified.
111	documents will be proced "I hereby grant to the indicated above. Representation from the agencies to satisfy information: Jame	Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC reduction from the ERIC microfiche by persons other copyright holder Exception is made for non-profit formation heeds of educators in response to discrete the second	c) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as the transcription of microfiche by libraries and other service inquiries." Printed Name: Solange A. Lopes Position: Assistant Prof. of Educ. Tel. No.: (540) 568-2936 Date: June 12, 1997 I wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document of the availability of the document of the source can be specified. Contributors should also the ford documents which cannot be made available through the source that the service is the source of the specified of the document of the documents which cannot be made available through the source of the specified of the document of the documents which cannot be made available through the specified of the specified of the document of the specified of the document of the document of the specified of
III	"I hereby grant to the indicated above. Repermission from the agencies to satisfy information: James James	Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC roduction from the ERIC microfiche by persons other copyright holder Exception is made for non-profit formation needs of educators in response to discrete the second of the educators in response to discrete the second of the educators in response to discrete the second of the educators in response to discrete the educators in	c) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as at the the ERIC employees and its system contractors requires the reproduction of microfiche by libraries and other service inquiries." Printed Name: Solange A. Lopes Position: Assistant Prof. of Eduction No.: (540) 568-2936 Date: June 12, 1997 If wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document and the availability of the document (ERIC will not able source can be specified. Contributors should also not for documents which cannot be made available through the source that the contributors are also the cannot be made available through the source can be specified.
Hil	"I hereby grant to the indicated above. Repermission from the agencies to satisfy information: James James	Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC roduction from the ERIC microfiche by persons other copyright holder Exception is made for non-profit formation needs of educators in response to discrete the second of the educators in response to discrete the second of the educators in response to discrete the second of the educators in response to discrete the educators in	c) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as at the the transfer than ERIC employees and its system contractors required to reproduction of microfiche by libraries and other service inquiries." Printed Name: Solange A. Lopes Position: Assistant Prof. of Educ. Tel. No.: (540) 568-2936 Date: June 12, 1997 I wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document reding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not able source can be specified. Contributors should also and for documents which cannot be made available through the contributors of the document of the documents which cannot be made available through the contributors.
	"I hereby grant to the indicated above. Representation from the agencies to satisfy into Signature: Organization: James Harrise Harrise If permission another source, nounce a docum aware that ERIC EDRS.) Publisher/Distribut Address: Price Per Copy:	Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC roduction) from the ERIC microfiche by persons other copyright holder Exception is made for non-profit formation needs of educators in response to discrete the second of the educators in response to discrete the second of the educators in response to discrete the second of the educators in response to discrete the educators i	c) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as at the the transfer than ERIC employees and its system contractors required to reproduction of microfiche by libraries and other service inquiries." Printed Name: Solange A. Lopes Position: Assistant Prof. of Educ. Tel. No.: (540) 568-2936 Date: June 12, 1997 I wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document reding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not able source can be specified. Contributors should also and for documents which cannot be made available through the contributors of the document of the documents which cannot be made available through the contributors.
	"I hereby grant to the indicated above. Representation from the agencies to satisfy into Signature: Organization: James Harrise Address: DOCUMENT AVAIT If permission another source, nounce a docum aware that ERIC EDRS.) Publisher/Distribut Address: Price Per Copy: IV. REFERRAL TO Communication of the permission of the permissi	essed in both microfiche and paper copy. The Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC foduction from the ERIC microfiche by persons other copyright holder Exception is made for non-profit formation heeds of educators in response to discrete the second profit of the second pr	c) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as at the the transfer than ERIC employees and its system contractors required to reproduction of microfiche by libraries and other service inquiries." Printed Name: Solange A. Lopes Position: Assistant Prof. of Educ. Tel. No.: (540) 568-2936 Date: June 12, 1997 I wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document reding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not able source can be specified. Contributors should also and for documents which cannot be made available through the contributors of the document of the documents which cannot be made available through the contributors.





AMERICAN
ASSOCIATION OF
COLLEGES FOR
TEACHER EDUCATION-

ONE DUPONT CIRCLE, NW SUITE 610 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036 (202) 293-2450

AUTHOR ABSTRACT

The writing of your own abstract is optional, if you do not wish to write your own, the clearinghouse will write it for you. Please note, also, that your abstract will be edited to conform to the EPIC format.

AUTHOR: Solange A. Lopes, Ph.D.

TITLE: State-Wide Needs Assessment in ESL Education: Findings

· ABSTRACT(up to 200 words of objective summary):

This paper reports on a needs assessment study designed to identify the priorities among ESL educational needs. The studywas based on a state-wide sample of schools K-12 with ESL programs. 330 surveys were sent out to 24 school divisions in Virginia. Responses on 200 surveys + 60% return rate - allowed for the examination of the most critical needs of teachers and students in the area of English as a Second Language. The analysis of the responses shows that teacherspreparation programs should emphasize more hands-on teaching and model active classroom teaching to better prepare prospective teachers to work with the growing population of nonnative students. The results of the study include recommendations for the improvement of ESL education K-12 in Virginia.

KEY WORDS:

Needs Assessment ESL Survey Statewide

language teaching nonnative students LEP

foreign students

